## MTH 4436 Homework Set 3.3; page 58

## Spring 2012

Pat Rossi
Name $\qquad$

1. Verify that the integers 1949 and 1951 are twin primes.

Observe: $\sqrt{1951}=44.17$
To show that 1949 and 1951 are twin primes, we'll show that neither has a prime factor less than $\sqrt{1951}=44.17$.

| $p$ | $1949=$ | $1951=$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
| 2 | $1949=2(974)+1$ | $1951=2(975)+1$ |
| 3 | $1949=3(649)+2$ | $1951=3(650)+1$ |
| 5 | $1949=5(389)+4$ | $1951=5(390)+1$ |
| 7 | $1949=7(278)+3$ | $1951=7(278)+5$ |
| 11 | $1949=11(177)+2$ | $1951=11(177)+4$ |
| 13 | $1949=13(149)+12$ | $1951=13(150)+1$ |
| 17 | $1949=17(114)+11$ | $1951=17(114)+13$ |
| 19 | $1949=19(102)+11$ | $1951=19(102)+13$ |
| 23 | $1949=23(84)+17$ | $1951=23(84)+19$ |
| 29 | $1949=29(67)+6$ | $1951=29(67)+8$ |
| 31 | $1949=31(62)+27$ | $1951=31(62)+29$ |
| 37 | $1949=37(52)+25$ | $1951=37(52)+27$ |
| 41 | $1949=41(47)+22$ | $1951=41(47)+24$ |
| 43 | $1949=43(45)+14$ | $1951=43(45)+16$ |

Since neither 1949 nor 1951 has a prime factor less than $\sqrt{1951}=44.17$, both are prime. (i.e., 1949 and 1951 are twin primes.)
2.
(a) Prove: If 1 is added to the product of twin primes, prove that the result is a perfect square.
Proof. Given any twin prime pair, we can represent the pair as $\langle p, p+2\rangle$. If we add 1 to the product, we have $p(p+2)+1=p^{2}+2 p+1=(p+1)^{2}$, which is a perfect square.
(b) Show that the sum of twin primes, $p$ and $p+2$ is divisible by 12 , provided that $p>3$.

Proof. Let $c=p+(p+2)$, where $p$ and $p+2$ are prime. Since $\operatorname{gcd}(3,4)=1$, it will follow that if $3 \mid c$ and $4 \mid c$, then $(3 \cdot 4) \mid c$.
i.e., $12 \mid c$.

Hence our proof boils down to showing that $3 \mid c$ and $4 \mid c$. (i.e., $3 \mid[p+(p+2)]$ and $4 \mid[p+(p+2)])$
$\underline{\underline{||c|}[p+(p+2)]}$
Note that $p$ must have one of the following three forms:
$p=3 k ; \quad p=3 k+1 ; \quad p=3 k+2, \quad$ for some natural number $k$.
Case 1: $p=3 k$
This can't happen, because this would make $p$ composite, contrary to hypothesis.
Case 2: $p=3 k+1$
This can't happen, because this would make $p+2=(3 k+1)+3=3 k+3=$ $3(k+1)$.
i.e., $p+2$ would be composite, contrary to hypothesis.

This leaves ...
Case 3: $p=3 k+2$ (This MUST be the case!)
Hence, $p+2=(3 k+2)+2=3 k+4$.
Observe: $p+(p+2)=(3 k+2)+(3 k+4)=3 k+6=3(k+2)$
Thus, $3 \mid[p+(p+2)]$
$4|[p+(p+2)]|$
Since $p>3, p$ must be odd. Hence, $p=2 k+1$, for some natural number $k$.
Thus, $p+(p+2)=(2 k+1)+[(2 k+1)+2]=4 k+4=4(k+1)$
Thus, $4 \mid[p+(p+2)]$, and our claim is proved.
3. Find all pairs of primes $p$ and $q$ satisfying $p-q=3$.

Observe: The difference of two even numbers is an even number. The difference of two odd numbers is an even number. Since $p-q$ is odd, one of these must be even, and the other odd. Since 2 is the only even prime, and since 2 is the smallest prime, we must have $q=2$. Hence, $p=5$.
This is the only such pair of primes $p$ and $q$ satisfying $p-q=3$.
9. (a) For $n>3$, show that $n, n+2$, and $n+4$ cannot all be prime.

Proof. Let $n>3$.
Note that $n$ must have on of the following three forms:
$n=3 k ; \quad n=3 k+1 ; \quad n=3 k+2, \quad$ for some natural number $k$.
Case 1, $n=3 k$
In this case, $n$ is not prime, as $3 \mid n$
Case 2, $n=3 k+1$
Then $n+2=(3 k+1)+2=3 k+3=3(k+1)$.
i.e., $n+2=3(k+1)$.

In this case, $n+2$ is not prime, as $3 \mid(n+2)$
Case 3, $n=3 k+2$
Then $n+4=(3 k+2)+4=3 k+6=3(k+2)$
i.e., $n+4=3(k+2)$

In this case, $n+4$ is not prime, as $3 \mid(n+4)$
b. Three integers $p, p+2, p+6$, which are all prime, are called a prime triplet. Find five sets of prime triplets.

Observe: since $p, p+2$ constitute a twin prime pair, consider all twin prime pairs.

| $p$ |  | $p+2$ | $p+6$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 5 | 9 No! |  |
| 5 | 7 |  | 11 Yes! |
| 11 | 13 |  | 17 Yes! |
| 17 | 19 | 23 Yes! |  |
| 29 | 31 | 35 No! |  |
| 41 | 43 | 47 Yes! |  |
| 59 | 61 |  | 65 No! |
| 71 | 73 | 77 No! |  |
| 101 | 103 |  | 107 Yes! |

